RECEIVED

2003 JUN 23 PM 12: 39

Before the

T.R.A. DOCKET ROOM

Tennessee Regulatory Authority

Docket No. 03-00118

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Rebuttal Testimony of

Michael Gorman

On behalf of

Chattanooga Manufacturers Association

Project 7980 June 2003



Before the Tennessee Regulatory Authority Docket No. 03-00118

TENNESSEE-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

Rebuttal Testimony of Michael Gorman

1	Q	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
2	Α	My name is Michael Gorman. My business address is 1215 Fern Ridge Parkway, Suite
3		208, St. Louis, MO 63141-2000.
4	Q	ARE YOU THE SAME MICHAEL GORMAN WHO PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY
5		IN THIS PROCEEDING?
6	Α	Yes.
7	Q	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS
8		PROCEEDING?
9	Α	I will provide brief comments on the direct testimony of Consumer Advocate Protection
10		Division, Office of Attorney General, witness Mr. Stephen Brown.
	•	
11	Q	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE PORTION OF MR. BROWN'S DIRECT TESTIMONY TO
12		WHICH YOU RESPOND.
13	Α	At Pages 58 and 59 of Mr. Brown's testimony, he states that in his opinion any change in
14		rates should be distributed equally among the revenue classes because he believes the
15		Company's cost study is unsupported.

1 DO YOU BELIEVE IT IS REASONABLE TO DISTRIBUTE ANY INCREASE EQUALLY Q 2 AMONG THE REVENUE CLASSES IN THIS PROCEEDING?

No. The revenue distribution proposed by M. Brown would be at odds with a majority of 3 Α the type of cost increases TAWC identified in support of its claimed revenue deficiency 4 in this proceeding. The Company's revenue deficiency is largely created by increases in 5 operating expenses and higher plant investments (as explained below) that are 6 7 customer-related costs. Customer-related expenses should not be recovered in volumetric charges, which is how most of the costs would be recovered using an equally distributed increase. Therefore, if the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (TRA) decides not to use the Company's cost of service study, then the majority of the Company's increase should be spread on customer charges, and a smaller amount should be spread on volumetric charges.

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THE COMPANY'S REVENUE DEFICIENCY IN THIS 13 Q 14 PROCEEDING IS BEING CREATED MAINLY BY INCREASED CUSTOMER-15 **RELATED COSTS?**

This is evidenced by a review of the Company's testimony supporting its revenue requirement request in this proceeding. For example, TAWC witness Sheila Valentine's Schedule 2, Page 3, shows the net additions in utility plant in service for the attrition year. The Company's net additions to utility plant increased by \$5.74 million, as shown on this schedule, the largest plant investment increases were for Accounts 331.4 (T&D Mains not Classified), Account 334.45 (Meter Installations), and Account 340.53 (Computer and Peripheral Equipment). These three accounts represent \$3.7 million of the increase to utility plant in service, out of a total increase of \$5.74 million, or approximately 65%.

T&D mains not classified are typically not used by large customers, and for the reasons discussed in my direct testimony, are most reasonably allocated among customers based on the number of customers. Meter installations are directly related to a customer's service and should, therefore, be allocated based on number of customers. Finally, TAWC proposes to allocate computer and peripheral equipment based on a consolidated allocation factor tied to O&M expenses. It is important to recognize that the largest asset classes, which cause the increase in utility plant in service, are not allocated uniformly among classes. Rather, they are directly tied to either customer allocation factors or O&M allocation factors.

Q

Α

Further, as shown on Ms. Valentine's Exhibit No. 2, Schedule 3, Page 1, the Company is adjusting its O&M costs at present rates and in the attrition year by approximately \$1.9 million. Of these total adjustments, over \$1 million is related to an increase in management fees. Management fees is an expense increase caused predominately by TAWC's parent company, American Water Works, centralization of customer call center and data processing centers, which are customer costs. Therefore, over 50% of TAWC's increase in O&M expenses is directly related to customer service costs. Here again, it will not be appropriate to allocate the increase in customer-related O&M expenses on a uniform basis across all customer classes. Rather, these costs should be allocated on the basis of number of customers

IF THE TRA DOES NOT USE THE COMPANY'S COST OF SERVICE STUDY, HOW SHOULD ANY REVENUE DEFICIENCY IN THIS PROCEEDING BE SPREAD AMONG THE CUSTOMER CLASSES?

First, let me state that I recommend the Commission accept the Company's cost of service model with the adjustments I proposed in my direct testimony. However, if the

TRA does not accept the Company's cost of service model, then, at a minimum, I would recommend that 60% of the revenue deficiency found appropriate by the TRA be recovered through increased customer charges, and 40% be spread among customers on volumetric charges. The 60% on customer charges is based on my finding that approximately 65% of the increase in rate base and over 50% of the increase in O&M expense revenue deficiency in this proceeding are derived from customer-related costs. Customer-related costs should be recovered through increased customer charges.

8 Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

9 A Yes, it does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

MPG:cjs/7980/37904